

Projective modules over the kernel of a locally nilpotent derivation on a polynomial ring

Neena Gupta
Indian Statistical Institute
Kolkata, India

3rd April 2015

Projective Modules

R Noetherian domain.

A f.g. R -module P is said to be *projective* if \exists R -module Q such that

$$P \oplus Q \cong R^d \text{ for some } d \geq 1.$$

Projective Modules

R Noetherian domain.

A f.g. R -module P is said to be *projective* if \exists R -module Q such that

$$P \oplus Q \cong R^d \text{ for some } d \geq 1.$$

Moreover, P is said to be *stably free* if

$$P \oplus R^\ell \cong R^d \text{ for some } \ell, d \geq 1.$$

Projective Modules

R Noetherian domain.

A f.g. R -module P is said to be *projective* if $\exists R$ -module Q such that

$$P \oplus Q \cong R^d \text{ for some } d \geq 1.$$

Moreover, P is said to be *stably free* if

$$P \oplus R^\ell \cong R^d \text{ for some } \ell, d \geq 1.$$

Theorem (Quillen-Suslin):

All projective modules over the polynomial ring $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ over a field k are necessarily free.

(Was known as Serre's conjecture for 20 years.)

Locally Nilpotent Derivations

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

B : an affine domain over k (f.g. k -algebra; integral domain)

Locally Nilpotent Derivations

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

B : an affine domain over k (f.g. k -algebra; integral domain)

A k -derivation D of B is a k -linear map

$$D : B \rightarrow B \text{ such that}$$

$$D(ab) = aD(b) + bD(a) \quad \forall a, b \in B.$$

D is called **locally nilpotent derivation** (LND) if, for every $a \in B$, $\exists n \geq 1$ (depending on a) such that $D^n(a) = 0$.

Let D be a non-zero LND on the affine k -domain B with kernel A , i.e.,

$$A := \text{Ker}(D) = \{a \in B \mid D(a) = 0\} \subseteq B.$$

- $D(\lambda) = 0 \forall \lambda \in k$, i.e., $k \subseteq \text{Ker}(D)$.

Let D be a non-zero LND on the affine k -domain B with kernel A , i.e.,

$$A := \text{Ker}(D) = \{a \in B \mid D(a) = 0\} \subseteq B.$$

- $D(\lambda) = 0 \forall \lambda \in k$, i.e., $k \subseteq \text{Ker}(D)$.

Ex: Let $D : k[X] \rightarrow k[X]$ be a k -derivation defined by $D(X) = 1$. Then D is an LND on $k[X]$ with $\text{Ker}(D) = k$.

Let D be a non-zero LND on the affine k -domain B with kernel A , i.e.,

$$A := \text{Ker}(D) = \{a \in B \mid D(a) = 0\} \subseteq B.$$

- $D(\lambda) = 0 \forall \lambda \in k$, i.e., $k \subseteq \text{Ker}(D)$.

Ex: Let $D : k[X] \rightarrow k[X]$ be a k -derivation defined by $D(X) = 1$. Then D is an LND on $k[X]$ with $\text{Ker}(D) = k$.

- $A^* = B^*$.

Let D be a non-zero LND on the affine k -domain B with kernel A , i.e.,

$$A := \text{Ker}(D) = \{a \in B \mid D(a) = 0\} \subseteq B.$$

- $D(\lambda) = 0 \forall \lambda \in k$, i.e., $k \subseteq \text{Ker}(D)$.

Ex: Let $D : k[X] \rightarrow k[X]$ be a k -derivation defined by $D(X) = 1$. Then D is an LND on $k[X]$ with $\text{Ker}(D) = k$.

- $A^* = B^*$.
- $\dim A = \dim B - 1$.

Let D be a non-zero LND on the affine k -domain B with kernel A , i.e.,

$$A := \text{Ker}(D) = \{a \in B \mid D(a) = 0\} \subseteq B.$$

- $D(\lambda) = 0 \forall \lambda \in k$, i.e., $k \subseteq \text{Ker}(D)$.

Ex: Let $D : k[X] \rightarrow k[X]$ be a k -derivation defined by $D(X) = 1$. Then D is an LND on $k[X]$ with $\text{Ker}(D) = k$.

- $A^* = B^*$.
- $\dim A = \dim B - 1$.
- A is factorially closed in B , i.e., if $a \in A$ such that $a = bc$ for some $b, c \in B$, then $b, c \in A$.

Let D be a non-zero LND on the affine k -domain B . For any $\lambda \in k$, the map

$$\exp(\lambda D) : B \rightarrow B \text{ specifying } b \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^i(b)}{i!} \lambda^i \quad (b \in B)$$

is well defined as $\exists n \geq 0$ s.t. $D^i(b) = 0$ for every $i \geq n$.

Let D be a non-zero LND on the affine k -domain B . For any $\lambda \in k$, the map

$$\exp(\lambda D) : B \rightarrow B \text{ specifying } b \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^i(b)}{i!} \lambda^i \quad (b \in B)$$

is well defined as $\exists n \geq 0$ s.t. $D^i(b) = 0$ for every $i \geq n$.

In fact, $\exp(\lambda D) \in \text{Aut}_k(B)$ with $\exp(\lambda D)^{-1} = \exp(-\lambda D)$.

Let D be a non-zero LND on the affine k -domain B . For any $\lambda \in k$, the map

$$\exp(\lambda D) : B \rightarrow B \text{ specifying } b \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^i(b)}{i!} \lambda^i \quad (b \in B)$$

is well defined as $\exists n \geq 0$ s.t. $D^i(b) = 0$ for every $i \geq n$.

In fact, $\exp(\lambda D) \in \text{Aut}_k(B)$ with $\exp(\lambda D)^{-1} = \exp(-\lambda D)$.

Any LND D on a ring B defines a group homomorphism (called \mathbb{G}_a -action) from the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a = (k, +)$ to $\text{Aut}_k(B)$, the group of k -algebra automorphisms of the ring B

$$\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k(B) \text{ such that } \lambda \rightarrow \exp(\lambda D).$$

Let D be a non-zero LND on the affine k -domain B . For any $\lambda \in k$, the map

$$\exp(\lambda D) : B \rightarrow B \text{ specifying } b \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^i(b)}{i!} \lambda^i \quad (b \in B)$$

is well defined as $\exists n \geq 0$ s.t. $D^i(b) = 0$ for every $i \geq n$.

In fact, $\exp(\lambda D) \in \text{Aut}_k(B)$ with $\exp(\lambda D)^{-1} = \exp(-\lambda D)$.

Any LND D on a ring B defines a group homomorphism (called \mathbb{G}_a -action) from the additive group $\mathbb{G}_a = (k, +)$ to $\text{Aut}_k(B)$, the group of k -algebra automorphisms of the ring B

$$\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \text{Aut}_k(B) \text{ such that } \lambda \rightarrow \exp(\lambda D).$$

LND useful in knowing the structure of $\text{Aut}_k(B)$.

Let $B = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $F_1, \dots, F_n \in B$.

Let $B = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $F_1, \dots, F_n \in B$.

We can define a derivation $D : B \rightarrow B$ by specifying

$$D(X_i) = F_i \text{ for each } i, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let $B = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $F_1, \dots, F_n \in B$.

We can define a derivation $D : B \rightarrow B$ by specifying

$$D(X_i) = F_i \text{ for each } i, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Suppose that

$$F_1 \in k$$

$$F_2 \in k[X_1]$$

$$\vdots$$

$$F_n \in k[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}].$$

Let $B = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $F_1, \dots, F_n \in B$.

We can define a derivation $D : B \rightarrow B$ by specifying

$$D(X_i) = F_i \text{ for each } i, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Suppose that

$$F_1 \in k$$

$$F_2 \in k[X_1]$$

$$\vdots$$

$$F_n \in k[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}].$$

Then D will be a locally nilpotent derivation.

Let $B = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and $F_1, \dots, F_n \in B$.

We can define a derivation $D : B \rightarrow B$ by specifying

$$D(X_i) = F_i \text{ for each } i, 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &\in k \\ F_2 &\in k[X_1] \\ &\vdots \\ F_n &\in k[X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Then D will be a locally nilpotent derivation.

Such derivations are called *triangular derivations*.

$$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

D : non-zero k -linear LND on B_n and

$$A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D).$$

$$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

D : non-zero k -linear LND on B_n and

$$A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D).$$

It is known that

- A_{n-1} is factorial.

$$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

D : non-zero k -linear LND on B_n and

$$A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D).$$

It is known that

- A_{n-1} is factorial.
- (Rentschler 1968) When $n = 2$ (i.e., $B_2 = k[X_1, X_2]$), $A_1 = k[F]$ and $B_2 = k[F, G]$ for some $G \in B_2$.

$$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

D : non-zero k -linear LND on B_n and

$$A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D).$$

It is known that

- A_{n-1} is factorial.
- (Rentschler 1968) When $n = 2$ (i.e., $B_2 = k[X_1, X_2]$), $A_1 = k[F]$ and $B_2 = k[F, G]$ for some $G \in B_2$.
- (Miyanishi 1983) When $n = 3$ (i.e., $B_3 = k[X_1, X_2, X_3]$), $A_2 = k[f, g]$. (However, B_3 need not be of the form $A_2[H]$.)

$$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

D : non-zero k -linear LND on B_n and

$$A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D).$$

It is known that

- A_{n-1} is factorial.
- (Rentschler 1968) When $n = 2$ (i.e., $B_2 = k[X_1, X_2]$), $A_1 = k[F]$ and $B_2 = k[F, G]$ for some $G \in B_2$.
- (Miyanishi 1983) When $n = 3$ (i.e., $B_3 = k[X_1, X_2, X_3]$), $A_2 = k[f, g]$. (However, B_3 need not be of the form $A_2[H]$.)
- G. Freudenburg (1998) constructed an interesting LND D on $B_3 = k[X_1, X_2, X_3]$ such that A_2 does not contain any variable of B_3 .

$$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

- When $n \geq 5$, there exist (triangular) derivations D s.t. $\text{Ker}(D)$ need not be even finitely generated (f.g.) k -algebra.

$$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

- When $n \geq 5$, there exist (triangular) derivations D s.t. $\text{Ker}(D)$ need not be even finitely generated (f.g.) k -algebra.

$n = 7$, Roberts (1990).

$n \geq 7$, Essen-Janssen (1995) using Roberts's example.

$n = 6$, Freudenburg (2000).

$n = 5$, Daigle-Freudenburg (1999).

$$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n],$$

- When $n \geq 5$, there exist (triangular) derivations D s.t. $\text{Ker}(D)$ need not be even finitely generated (f.g.) k -algebra.
 - $n = 7$, Roberts (1990).
 - $n \geq 7$, Essen-Janssen (1995) using Roberts's example.
 - $n = 6$, Freudenburg (2000).
 - $n = 5$, Daigle-Freudenburg (1999).
- For $n = 4$, it is not known whether the kernel of any LND on B_4 is always a f.g. k -algebra.

$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $D (\neq 0)$: k -linear LND on B_n and
 $A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D)$.

$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $D(\neq 0)$: k -linear LND on B_n and $A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D)$.

All projective modules over B_n are necessarily free.
(Quillen-Suslin)

$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $D (\neq 0)$: k -linear LND on B_n and $A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D)$.

All projective modules over B_n are necessarily free.
(Quillen-Suslin)

Though it can be bad enough, the kernel A_{n-1} inherits some of the properties (like factoriality) of the polynomial ring B_n and it is expected that A_{n-1} enjoys, at least to some extent, several other properties of B_n . In that spirit, Miyanishi asked:

$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $D (\neq 0)$: k -linear LND on B_n and $A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D)$.

All projective modules over B_n are necessarily free.
(Quillen-Suslin)

Though it can be bad enough, the kernel A_{n-1} inherits some of the properties (like factoriality) of the polynomial ring B_n and it is expected that A_{n-1} enjoys, at least to some extent, several other properties of B_n . In that spirit, Miyanishi asked:

Question. *Are all projective modules over A_{n-1} necessarily free?*

$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $D (\neq 0)$: k -linear LND on B_n and $A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D)$.

All projective modules over B_n are necessarily free.
(Quillen-Suslin)

Though it can be bad enough, the kernel A_{n-1} inherits some of the properties (like factoriality) of the polynomial ring B_n and it is expected that A_{n-1} enjoys, at least to some extent, several other properties of B_n . In that spirit, Miyanishi asked:

Question. *Are all projective modules over A_{n-1} necessarily free?*

By Rentschler's and Miyanishi's theorems, Miyanishi's question has an affirmative answer when $n = 2$ and 3.

$B_n := k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, $D (\neq 0)$: k -linear LND on B_n and $A_{n-1} = \text{Ker}(D)$.

All projective modules over B_n are necessarily free.
(Quillen-Suslin)

Though it can be bad enough, the kernel A_{n-1} inherits some of the properties (like factoriality) of the polynomial ring B_n and it is expected that A_{n-1} enjoys, at least to some extent, several other properties of B_n . In that spirit, Miyanishi asked:

Question. *Are all projective modules over A_{n-1} necessarily free?*

By Rentschler's and Miyanishi's theorems, Miyanishi's question has an affirmative answer when $n = 2$ and 3.

What if $n = 4$?

$B := k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, $D(\neq 0)$: a LND on B and
 $A = \text{Ker}(D)$.

$B := k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, $D (\neq 0)$: a LND on B and
 $A = \text{Ker}(D)$.

- Daigle-Freudenburg (2001) showed that A is f.g. if D is a triangular derivation (but need not be a polynomial ring).

$B := k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, $D(\neq 0)$: a LND on B and
 $A = \text{Ker}(D)$.

- Daigle-Freudenburg (2001) showed that A is f.g. if D is a triangular derivation (but need not be a polynomial ring).
- Daigle-Freudenburg (2001) showed that given any integer $r \geq 3$, there exists a triangular derivation D such that A cannot be generated by r elements.

$B := k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, $D(\neq 0)$: a LND on B and
 $A = \text{Ker}(D)$.

- Daigle-Freudenburg (2001) showed that A is f.g. if D is a triangular derivation (but need not be a polynomial ring).
- Daigle-Freudenburg (2001) showed that given any integer $r \geq 3$, there exists a triangular derivation D such that A cannot be generated by r elements.

Remark: If D is a triangular derivation, then D annihilates a variable of B .

$B := k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, $D(\neq 0)$: a LND on B and
 $A = \text{Ker}(D)$.

- Daigle-Freudenburg (2001) showed that A is f.g. if D is a triangular derivation (but need not be a polynomial ring).
- Daigle-Freudenburg (2001) showed that given any integer $r \geq 3$, there exists a triangular derivation D such that A cannot be generated by r elements.

Remark: If D is a triangular derivation, then D annihilates a variable of B .

- Bhatwadekar-Daigle (2009) showed that A is f.g. if D annihilates a variable of B .

$B := k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$, $D (\neq 0)$: a LND on B and $A = \text{Ker}(D)$.

- Daigle-Freudenburg (2001) showed that A is f.g. if D is a triangular derivation (but need not be a polynomial ring).
- Daigle-Freudenburg (2001) showed that given any integer $r \geq 3$, there exists a triangular derivation D such that A cannot be generated by r elements.

Remark: If D is a triangular derivation, then D annihilates a variable of B .

- Bhatwadekar-Daigle (2009) showed that A is f.g. if D annihilates a variable of B .

Investigate the question of Miyanishi for the case $n = 4$ and under the additional hypothesis that D annihilates a variable of B . For convenience, assume that $D(X_1) = 0$.

Question Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$,
 D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and
 $A := \text{Ker}(D)$.

Question Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$,
 D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and
 $A := \text{Ker}(D)$.

Are all projective modules over A stably free?

Question Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$,
 D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and
 $A := \text{Ker}(D)$.

Are all projective modules over A stably free?

Answer Not in general.

Question Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$,
 D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and
 $A := \text{Ker}(D)$.

Are all projective modules over A stably free?

Answer Not in general.
YES under additional hypotheses

k : a field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

k : a field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Theorem

Suppose A is a regular ring. Then

A is a polynomial ring over $k[X]$, i.e., $A = k[X][F, G]$ for some $F, G \in B$.

k : a field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Theorem

Suppose A is a regular ring. Then

A is a polynomial ring over $k[X]$, i.e., $A = k[X][F, G]$ for some $F, G \in B$.

In particular, all projective modules over A are free.

k : a field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Theorem

Suppose A is a regular ring. Then

A is a polynomial ring over $k[X]$, i.e., $A = k[X][F, G]$ for some $F, G \in B$.

In particular, all projective modules over A are free.

(A generalisation of Miyanishi's Theorem for $n = 4$.)

k : a field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Theorem

Suppose A is a regular ring. Then

A is a polynomial ring over $k[X]$, i.e., $A = k[X][F, G]$ for some $F, G \in B$.

In particular, all projective modules over A are free.

(A generalisation of Miyanishi's Theorem for $n = 4$.)

Theorem

Suppose that A has only isolated singularities. (i.e., A_m is a regular ring for all but finitely many maximal ideals m of A).

Then A is generated by four elements.

k : a field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Theorem

Suppose A is a regular ring. Then

A is a polynomial ring over $k[X]$, i.e., $A = k[X][F, G]$ for some $F, G \in B$.

In particular, all projective modules over A are free.

(A generalisation of Miyanishi's Theorem for $n = 4$.)

Theorem

Suppose that A has only isolated singularities. (i.e., A_m is a regular ring for all but finitely many maximal ideals m of A).

Then A is generated by four elements.

There exists D such that A has (only) isolated singularities but projective modules over A are not necessarily free.

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question

Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$, k : algebraically closed field of ch. 0.

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question

Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$, k : algebraically closed field of ch. 0.

Choose $f(U), g(U) \in k[U]$ such that

(i) $\deg_U f \geq 2$ and $\deg_U g \geq 2$ and

(ii) $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$. (Ex: $f(U) = U^2$, $g(U) = U^3$.)

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question

Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$, k : algebraically closed field of ch. 0.

Choose $f(U), g(U) \in k[U]$ such that

(i) $\deg_U f \geq 2$ and $\deg_U g \geq 2$ and

(ii) $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$. (Ex: $f(U) = U^2$, $g(U) = U^3$.)

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Define a triangular derivation D on B as:

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question

Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$, k : algebraically closed field of ch. 0.

Choose $f(U), g(U) \in k[U]$ such that

(i) $\deg_U f \geq 2$ and $\deg_U g \geq 2$ and

(ii) $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$. (Ex: $f(U) = U^2$, $g(U) = U^3$.)

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Define a triangular derivation D on B as:

$$D(X) = 0$$

$$D(U) = X^n$$

$$D(V) = f'(U)$$

$$D(W) = g'(U).$$

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question

Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$, k : algebraically closed field of ch. 0.

Choose $f(U), g(U) \in k[U]$ such that

(i) $\deg_U f \geq 2$ and $\deg_U g \geq 2$ and

(ii) $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$. (Ex: $f(U) = U^2$, $g(U) = U^3$.)

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Define a triangular derivation D on B as:

$$D(X) = 0$$

$$D(U) = X^n$$

$$D(V) = f'(U)$$

$$D(W) = g'(U).$$

Let $A = \text{Ker}(D)$.

Then projective modules over A are not necessarily free.

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question

Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$, k : algebraically closed field of ch. 0.

Choose $f(U), g(U) \in k[U]$ such that

(i) $\deg_U f \geq 2$ and $\deg_U g \geq 2$ and

(ii) $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$. (Ex: $f(U) = U^2$, $g(U) = U^3$.)

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Define a triangular derivation D on B as:

$$D(X) = 0$$

$$D(U) = X^n$$

$$D(V) = f'(U)$$

$$D(W) = g'(U).$$

Let $A = \text{Ker}(D)$.

Then projective modules over A are not necessarily free.

If $n = 1$, then A has only isolated singularities.

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question

Let $B := k[X, U, V, W]$, k : algebraically closed field of ch. 0.

Choose $f(U), g(U) \in k[U]$ such that

(i) $\deg_U f \geq 2$ and $\deg_U g \geq 2$ and

(ii) $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$. (Ex: $f(U) = U^2$, $g(U) = U^3$.)

Fix an integer $n \geq 1$. Define a triangular derivation D on B as:

$$D(X) = 0$$

$$D(U) = X^n$$

$$D(V) = f'(U)$$

$$D(W) = g'(U).$$

Let $A = \text{Ker}(D)$.

Then projective modules over A are not necessarily free.

If $n = 1$, then A has only isolated singularities.

We may also take $D(U) = (X - a_1) \cdots (X - a_m)$, $a_i \neq a_j$.

The group K_0

R Noetherian domain.

The group K_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{H} : set of all isomorphic classes of f.g. projective R -modules.

The group K_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{H} : set of all isomorphism classes of f.g. projective R -modules.

$$K_0(R) := \frac{\bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot P}{\langle P_2 - P_1 - P_3 \mid 0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow 0 \rangle}.$$

The group K_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{H} : set of all isomorphism classes of f.g. projective R -modules.

$$K_0(R) := \frac{\bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot P}{\langle P_2 - P_1 - P_3 \mid 0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow 0 \rangle}.$$

For any f.g. R -module P , let $[P]$ denote its image in $K_0(R)$.

The group K_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{H} : set of all isomorphism classes of f.g. projective R -modules.

$$K_0(R) := \frac{\bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot P}{\langle P_2 - P_1 - P_3 \mid 0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow 0 \rangle}.$$

For any f.g. R -module P , let $[P]$ denote its image in $K_0(R)$.

For f.g. projective R -modules P, L, Q , if $P \oplus L \cong Q \oplus L$, then $[P] = [Q]$ in $K_0(R)$.

The group K_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{H} : set of all isomorphism classes of f.g. projective R -modules.

$$K_0(R) := \frac{\bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot P}{\langle P_2 - P_1 - P_3 \mid 0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow 0 \rangle}.$$

For any f.g. R -module P , let $[P]$ denote its image in $K_0(R)$.

For f.g. projective R -modules P, L, Q , if $P \oplus L \cong Q \oplus L$, then $[P] = [Q]$ in $K_0(R)$.

Thus, if P is a stably free R -module of rank d , i.e., $P \oplus R^m = R^{d+m}$, then $[P] = [R^d] = d[R]$ in $K_0(R)$.

The group K_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{H} : set of all isomorphism classes of f.g. projective R -modules.

$$K_0(R) := \frac{\bigoplus_{P \in \mathcal{H}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot P}{\langle P_2 - P_1 - P_3 \mid 0 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2 \rightarrow P_3 \rightarrow 0 \rangle}.$$

For any f.g. R -module P , let $[P]$ denote its image in $K_0(R)$.

For f.g. projective R -modules P, L, Q , if $P \oplus L \cong Q \oplus L$, then $[P] = [Q]$ in $K_0(R)$.

Thus, if P is a stably free R -module of rank d , i.e., $P \oplus R^m = R^{d+m}$, then $[P] = [R^d] = d[R]$ in $K_0(R)$.

By Quillen Suslin Theorem, $K_0(k[X_1, \dots, X_n]) = \mathbb{Z}$.

k : algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

$F(Z, T)$: an irreducible polynomial of $k[Z, T]$,

$C := k[Z, T]/(F)$ and

$E = k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$, where $n \geq 1$.

k : algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

$F(Z, T)$: an irreducible polynomial of $k[Z, T]$,

$C := k[Z, T]/(F)$ and

$E = k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$, where $n \geq 1$.

Then TFAE:

- ① C is a non-singular (regular) affine rational curve.
- ② $K_0(E) = \mathbb{Z}$.
- ③ $K_0(E)$ is finitely generated.
- ④ $K_0(C)$ is finitely generated.

k : algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

$F(Z, T)$: an irreducible polynomial of $k[Z, T]$,

$C := k[Z, T]/(F)$ and

$E = k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$, where $n \geq 1$.

Then TFAE:

- 1 C is a non-singular (regular) affine rational curve.
- 2 $K_0(E) = \mathbb{Z}$.
- 3 $K_0(E)$ is finitely generated.
- 4 $K_0(C)$ is finitely generated.

Thus, if C is not a regular ring then $K_0(E)$ is not finitely generated; in particular, there exists an infinite family of non-isomorphic projective E -modules which are not even stably isomorphic.

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question cont.

$$B := k[X, U, V, W], C = k[f(U), g(U)] \hookrightarrow k[U], n \geq 1.$$

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question cont.

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$, $C = k[f(U), g(U)] \hookrightarrow k[U]$, $n \geq 1$.
Since $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$, C is birational to $k(U)$.

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question cont.

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$, $C = k[f(U), g(U)] \hookrightarrow k[U]$, $n \geq 1$.

Since $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$, C is birational to $k(U)$.

Since $\deg_U f, \deg_U g \geq 2$, $C \neq k[U]$. Hence C is not regular.

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question cont.

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$, $C = k[f(U), g(U)] \hookrightarrow k[U]$, $n \geq 1$.

Since $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$, C is birational to $k(U)$.

Since $\deg_U f, \deg_U g \geq 2$, $C \neq k[U]$. Hence C is not regular.

Note that $C \cong k[Z, T]/(F(Z, T))$ for irreducible polynomial $F(Z, T)$ of $k[Z, T]$.

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question cont.

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$, $C = k[f(U), g(U)] \hookrightarrow k[U]$, $n \geq 1$.

Since $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$, C is birational to $k(U)$.

Since $\deg_U f, \deg_U g \geq 2$, $C \neq k[U]$. Hence C is not regular.

Note that $C \cong k[Z, T]/(F(Z, T))$ for irreducible polynomial $F(Z, T)$ of $k[Z, T]$. Let

$$D = X^n \partial_U + f'(U) \partial_V + g'(U) \partial_W.$$

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question cont.

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$, $C = k[f(U), g(U)] \hookrightarrow k[U]$, $n \geq 1$.

Since $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$, C is birational to $k(U)$.

Since $\deg_U f, \deg_U g \geq 2$, $C \neq k[U]$. Hence C is not regular.

Note that $C \cong k[Z, T]/(F(Z, T))$ for irreducible polynomial $F(Z, T)$ of $k[Z, T]$. Let

$$D = X^n \partial_U + f'(U) \partial_V + g'(U) \partial_W.$$

Then

- $A = \text{Ker}(D) \cong k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$ and

Counter-examples to Miyanishi's question cont.

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$, $C = k[f(U), g(U)] \hookrightarrow k[U]$, $n \geq 1$.

Since $\text{g.c.d}(\deg_U f, \deg_U g) = 1$, C is birational to $k(U)$.

Since $\deg_U f, \deg_U g \geq 2$, $C \neq k[U]$. Hence C is not regular.

Note that $C \cong k[Z, T]/(F(Z, T))$ for irreducible polynomial $F(Z, T)$ of $k[Z, T]$. Let

$$D = X^n \partial_U + f'(U) \partial_V + g'(U) \partial_W.$$

Then

- $A = \text{Ker}(D) \cong k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$ and
- $K_0(A)$ is not f.g.; in particular, there exists an infinite family of non-isomorphic projective A -modules which are not even stably isomorphic.

k : algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

$F(Z, T)$: an irreducible polynomial of $k[Z, T]$,

$C := k[Z, T]/(F)$ and

$E = k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$, where $n \geq 1$.

k : algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

$F(Z, T)$: an irreducible polynomial of $k[Z, T]$,

$C := k[Z, T]/(F)$ and

$E = k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$, where $n \geq 1$.

Remark: E is factorial (using Nagata's criterion) and $E^* = k^*$.

k : algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

$F(Z, T)$: an irreducible polynomial of $k[Z, T]$,

$C := k[Z, T]/(F)$ and

$E = k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$, where $n \geq 1$.

Remark: E is factorial (using Nagata's criterion) and $E^* = k^*$.

Question: *Does there exist a triangular LND D of $k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$ such that $E \cong \text{Ker}(D)$?*

k : algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

$F(Z, T)$: an irreducible polynomial of $k[Z, T]$,

$C := k[Z, T]/(F)$ and

$E = k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$, where $n \geq 1$.

Remark: E is factorial (using Nagata's criterion) and $E^* = k^*$.

Question: *Does there exist a triangular LND D of $k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$ such that $E \cong \text{Ker}(D)$?*

Answer: Yes, if C is a k -subalgebra of a polynomial ring $k[U]$.

k : algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

$F(Z, T)$: an irreducible polynomial of $k[Z, T]$,

$C := k[Z, T]/(F)$ and

$E = k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$, where $n \geq 1$.

Remark: E is factorial (using Nagata's criterion) and $E^* = k^*$.

Question: *Does there exist a triangular LND D of $k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$ such that $E \cong \text{Ker}(D)$?*

Answer: Yes, if C is a k -subalgebra of a polynomial ring $k[U]$.

Question: Is the converse also true?

k : algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

$F(Z, T)$: an irreducible polynomial of $k[Z, T]$,

$C := k[Z, T]/(F)$ and

$E = k[X, Y, Z, T]/(X^n Y - F(Z, T))$, where $n \geq 1$.

Remark: E is factorial (using Nagata's criterion) and $E^* = k^*$.

Question: *Does there exist a triangular LND D of $k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$ such that $E \cong \text{Ker}(D)$?*

Answer: Yes, if C is a k -subalgebra of a polynomial ring $k[U]$.

Question: Is the converse also true?

Answer: Yes, i.e., TFAE:

- $E \cong \text{Ker}(D)$ for some triangular LND D of $k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$.
- C is a k -subalgebra of a polynomial ring $k[U]$.

The group G_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{S} : the set of all isomorphic classes of f.g. R -modules.

The group G_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{S} : the set of all isomorphic classes of f.g. R -modules.

$$G_0(R) = \frac{\bigoplus_{M \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot M}{\langle M_2 - M_1 - M_3 \mid 0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0 \rangle}.$$

The group G_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{S} : the set of all isomorphic classes of f.g. R -modules.

$$G_0(R) = \frac{\bigoplus_{M \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot M}{\langle M_2 - M_1 - M_3 \mid 0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0 \rangle}.$$

- There exists a canonical map $\iota : K_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(R)$.

The group G_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{S} : the set of all isomorphic classes of f.g. R -modules.

$$G_0(R) = \frac{\bigoplus_{M \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot M}{\langle M_2 - M_1 - M_3 \mid 0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0 \rangle}.$$

- There exists a canonical map $\iota : K_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(R)$.
- If R is regular, then $\iota : K_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(R)$ is isomorphism.

The group G_0

R Noetherian domain.

\mathcal{S} : the set of all isomorphic classes of f.g. R -modules.

$$G_0(R) = \frac{\bigoplus_{M \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{Z} \cdot M}{\langle M_2 - M_1 - M_3 \mid 0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0 \rangle}.$$

- There exists a canonical map $\iota : K_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(R)$.
- If R is regular, then $\iota : K_0(R) \rightarrow G_0(R)$ is isomorphism.
- If $R \cong k[X_1, \dots, V_n]$, then $G_0(R) = K_0(R) = \mathbb{Z}$.

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Then $K_0(B) = G_0(B) = \mathbb{Z}$.

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Then $K_0(B) = G_0(B) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Question: Is $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$?

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Then $K_0(B) = G_0(B) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Question: Is $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$?

Answer: YES if A is regular
(as A regular implies $A \cong k[X, Y, Z]$).

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Then $K_0(B) = G_0(B) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Question: Is $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$?

Answer: YES if A is regular

(as A regular implies $A \cong k[X, Y, Z]$).

NO in general

(our examples show that $K_0(A)$ need not be even f.g.)

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Then $K_0(B) = G_0(B) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Question: Is $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$?

Answer: YES if A is regular

(as A regular implies $A \cong k[X, Y, Z]$).

NO in general

(our examples show that $K_0(A)$ need not be even f.g.)

Question: Is $G_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$?

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Then $K_0(B) = G_0(B) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Question: Is $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$?

Answer: YES if A is regular

(as A regular implies $A \cong k[X, Y, Z]$).

NO in general

(our examples show that $K_0(A)$ need not be even f.g.)

Question: Is $G_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$?

Answer: YES (always).

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X, U, V, W]$

D : a LND of B such that $D(X) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Then $K_0(B) = G_0(B) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Question: Is $K_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$?

Answer: YES if A is regular

(as A regular implies $A \cong k[X, Y, Z]$).

NO in general

(our examples show that $K_0(A)$ need not be even f.g.)

Question: Is $G_0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$?

Answer: YES (always).

In fact, $G_1(A) = G_1(B) = k^*$.

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$

D : an LND of B such that $D(X_1) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

k : an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero

$B := k[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4]$

D : an LND of B such that $D(X_1) = 0$ and

$A := \text{Ker}(D)$

Question: *Suppose that all projective A -modules are free.*

Does it follow that A is a regular ring?, i.e.,

Does it follow that $A \cong k[X, Y, Z]$?